



CWIRP – COMMUNITY WIRELESS INFRASTRUCTURE RESEARCH PROJECT¹

Author: Rhonda McEwen and Amelia Bryne Potter

Toronto Hydro Telecom A CWIRP Case Study Map

¹ Production of this case study has been made possible through a financial contribution from Infrastructure Canada. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the views of the Government of Canada.

COMMUNITY WIRELESS INFRASTRUCTURE RESEARCH PROJECT

Toronto Hydro Telecom – A CWIRP Case Study Map

www.cwirp.org

Production of this case study has been made possible through a financial contribution from Infrastructure Canada. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the views of the Government of Canada.

Table of Contents

1. Brief Case Study History	2
1.1 General Company Background Information	2
1.2 Ownership	2
1.3 Financing	2
1.4 Access	3
1.5 Mission Statement and Goals	3
1.6 Network Type	4
2. Organization	5
2.1 Skills / Capacities	5
2.2 Management Structure	5
3. ICT Infrastructure	7
3.1 Current Status of Network Services, Equipment & Facilities, Hardware, Software, Use of Spectrum	7
3.2 Costs	7
3.3 Technical Challenges	8
3.4 Properties of the Network	8
3.5 Network Range	9
3.6 Other Key Questions	9
4. Key Services	11
5. Users	12
5.1 Critical Questions	12
6. Financial and Other Resources	13
7. External Stakeholders	13
8. Community	14
8.1 Community Profile	14
8.2 Policy/Regulatory/Legal Context	14
8.3 Impact and Benefits	14
9. Critical Success Factors and Lessons Learned	16
10. Assessment	17

1. Brief Case Study History

1.1 General Company Background Information

Company Name:	Toronto Hydro Telecom Inc. (THT).
Service Name:	“OneZone”.
Location:	185 The West Mall, Suite 500, Toronto, Ontario, M9C 5L5 Toronto, Canada.
Website:	http://www.thtelecom.ca/
Core Business:	Municipal data services, fibre-optic network backbone.

When WiFi project initiated, announcement: February 8, 2006 the THT RFP for equipment vendors was issued. March 7, 2006: Official press release entitled, “Toronto Hydro Telecom announces blanket Wi Fi coverage in downtown Toronto core”. See http://www.thtelecom.ca/in_the_news.html

1.2 Ownership

Wholly-owned subsidiary of Toronto Hydro Corporation (THC). THC primarily provides electricity to the City of Toronto. THC operates four major wholly owned affiliates that carry on the business of electricity distribution, retail energy services, telecommunications and street lighting. (Source: http://www.torontohydro.com/corporate/management_team/index.cfm)

1.3 Financing

1. Toronto Hydro purchased the City of Toronto’s street light poles for \$60 million CAN (18,000 streetlights and poles). Installation cost expected to be less than \$10 million CAN. Source: Mikko Laine, “Toronto Hydro Telecom Set To Make City a Huge Wireless Hotspot”, at www.finpro.fi/NR/rdonlyres/750D0BF5-B264-4615-B9D3-9CAE6B1CEFE6/3816/TorontosdowntownaWiFitrendsetter1.doc, last viewed July 28, 2006.
2. Excerpts from presentation by Dave Dobbin to the York Technology Association, April 27, 2006.
 - (i) Service aims: Toronto Hydro Telecom’s solution to the dead zones that are currently found in downtown Toronto is to install radio access

points on existing streetlighting poles in order to ensure consistent connectivity. Currently, radio transmitters are located arbitrarily in coffee shops, fast food outlets, train stations or hotels, depending on the service provider. Toronto Hydro Telecom's solution will offer seamless, unfettered access to the Internet from any location with the ubiquitous Wi Fi zone.

- (ii) Estimates the telecom market in the downtown Toronto-core at 13.5% of total Toronto telecom market.
- (iii) Total potential WiFi-service market in Toronto sized by THT at 800,000 customers. Estimate for Canadian wireless market is \$8 billion CAN per annum.
- (iv) Purchased a 20-year exclusive agreement from THSLI to use street light poles.
- (v) Business case expects payback in 1.2 years (IRR not calculable), built on a three-year plan for the Greater Toronto Area.
- (vi) Pricing: "For the first six months, the service will be available free of charge to anyone in the 'blanketed' zone. After that time, four packages will be available, and rates will be competitive with Bell, Rogers and Telus", see <http://www.thtelecom.ca/zone-qa.html>, last viewed July 28, 2006.

1.4 Access

Toronto Hydro Telecom's new 'blanketed' service will cover six square kilometers. It will be available in the area bounded by Jarvis Street west to Spadina Avenue, and from Front Street north to Bloor Street.

1.5 Mission Statement and Goals

Toronto Hydro Telecom Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Toronto Hydro Corporation, provides data services in Toronto to more than 400 commercial addresses through its 450-kilometre fibre-optic network. The company offers a broad range of data communications solutions including Ethernet, Private Line, Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) and Internet Access. Toronto Hydro Telecom possesses a wholly owned fibre optic network that is completely diverse from those of other carriers. Through a consolidated sales group with other Utility Telecoms, business and institutional customers can enjoy seamless access across the province and into Quebec and the United States. Source: http://www.thtelecom.ca/about_us.html

1.6 Network Type

- Private consortium/company
- Rationale for choosing model:
 - Toronto Hydro Telecom opted for a sustainable, pay-for-service model. Their view is that metro broadband networks should not be, in fact cannot be, free nor do we think they should be funded through taxes. “Our view is that if a private company invests capital to build a network...spends money to develop a back-office infrastructure...implements password authentication tools to keep predators and other unsavory characters off its network...spends time to promote, advertise and educate consumers ...continues to invest to ensure quality service levels...and lastly, works to create a supportive regulatory environment for the benefit of the entire industry – well then, that organization should be able to recoup its investment. We can’t recoup our investment through taxes or rates because Toronto Hydro Telecom is not a branch of the City of Toronto ... nor are we part of the city’s I.T. department and we certainly are not part of a public private partnership”. Source: Speaking notes for Sharyn Gravelle, Vice-president, Wireless, Toronto Hydro Telecom Wireless Cities Summit Toronto, January 24, 2007.
- Funder(s)/sponsor(s)
 - Self-funded: Toronto Hydro Telecom.


2. Organization

2.1 Skills / Capacities

Experience in managing, deploying and operating a telecommunications infrastructure evidenced by their successful wholesale fibre optic business in Toronto. "...our staff has excellent technical skills combined with a strong service orientation and solid track record of achieving progressive results", Source:

http://www.thtelecom.ca/our_experts.html

2.2 Management Structure



- Staffing
 - Approximately 50 employees
- Governance (e.g. oversight body, committees, policies, participants)
 - Wholly-owned subsidiary of Toronto Hydro Corporation (THC).
- Partnerships
 - Siemens Canada selected as THT’s vendor of record for equipment supply, implementation and services for the OneZone project.

2. ORGANIZATION

- Who are the champions? who are the people who made this network/service work?
 - “Dave Dobbins, President, Toronto Hydro Telecom Inc. Dobbins is responsible for leading the market growth and development of Toronto Hydro Telecom Inc., with operational responsibilities for business strategy, sales and marketing, network operations, services creation and customer care. Prior to joining Toronto Hydro Telecom, Dave was the Chief Operating Officer of Telecom Ottawa where he created a successful telecommunications subsidiary for Hydro Ottawa Holdings Inc.” Source: http://www.thtelecom.ca/our_experts.html
 - David Miller, Mayor Toronto. “This [launch of One Zone] is a watershed moment that will put Toronto on the leading edge of the telecommunications industry nationally and globally,” said Toronto Mayor David Miller. “It sends a strong signal to investors, researchers and other business partners that we see Toronto as a hub for innovation, investment and continued prosperity. It’s an example of the on-going city building initiatives that make Toronto an exceptional place to live, work and play. Toronto Hydro Telecom is to be commended for its aggressive and innovative approach to using technology as a means of helping our city work better, smarter and more productively.” Source: <http://www.wifitechnology.com/displayarticle2800.html>

3. ICT Infrastructure

3.1 Current Status of Network Services, Equipment & Facilities, Hardware, Software, Use of Spectrum

What technology/ies are they providing to their users? What hardware/software is needed to provide access to these technologies? (e.g. wi-fi, mesh, wi-max)

- THT Wi Fi network will use 802.11 g technology and will also be compatible with the 802.11 b standard. The network will employ a mesh architecture that reduces the need for wired infrastructure. According to THT, by installing equipment designed to achieve indoor and outdoor coverage simultaneously, they will create a 'hotzone' that will blanket the network coverage area. This will allow for untethered use throughout the hot zone. The Wi Fi network will work in tandem with the company's existing fibre optic network, which forms the backbone of the delivery system.
- Toronto Hydro Telecom will use Siemens' "Wireless Integration@vantage" wireless integration platform which provides features such as access control, authentication and accounting. The software for this platform was developed by Garderos Software Innovations, a wholly-owned Siemens subsidiary. The network nodes are from the "Siemens Mesh@vantage MR" product family, based on industry-leading wireless mesh technology from BelAir Networks.

3.2 Costs

- Cost of Deployment:
 - Dobbin's published estimate for the capital costs for the system is \$56M. Capital investment: \$2M for first phase, \$56M full coverage. Pricing (after 6 month free period): \$29/mo (\$10/day, \$5/hour), \$348/yr+taxes
 - Toronto Hydro purchased the City of Toronto's street light poles for \$60 million CAN (18,000 streetlights and poles). Installation cost expected to be less than \$10 million CAN. Source: Mikko Laine, "Toronto Hydro Telecom Set To Make City a Huge Wireless Hotspot", at www.finpro.fi/NR/rdonlyres/750D0BF5-B264-4615-B9D3-9CAE6B1CEFE6/3816/TorontosdowntownaWiFitrendsetter1.doc, last viewed July 28, 2006.
- Costs ongoing operations (including staff costs)
 - CWIRP unconfirmed calculation of \$40-50M/yr

- What is the basis of decision making around costs/methods of infrastructure deployment?
 - Cost Recovery and Profit

3.3 Technical Challenges

...in deploying Infrastructure:

- Equipment Street light poles were not all up to the required standard. The company's original plan was to use the poles to attach wireless access points. That plan hit a snag when it was discovered that not all of the available poles were suitable candidates.

“When we selected the financial district as the first district, we were quite surprised that . . . a very low percentage of them had 24/7 power at the pole,” said Vice-President of Wireless Sharyn Gravelle. Upgrades and retrofits for the affected poles brought about a delay. It also became apparent that not every area of the city that had been targeted for Wi-Fi had the requisite number of poles. The area around the Eaton Centre, for example, is completely bereft of street lights since there is already enough ambient light from stores, displays and tourist attractions. The area had to be rezoned slightly, said Gravelle, to take advantage of light poles on neighbouring side streets”, Source: See, “Police concerns, poor street light poles snarl Toronto Wi-Fi project, Proposed city-wide wireless service pushed back until September”, 7/20/2006 by Neil Sutton, viewed at <http://www.itbusiness.ca/it/client/en/home/News.asp?id=40122>, last viewed on July 28, 2006.

... in operating infrastructure:

- None known.

3.4 Properties of the Network

Is it open? Is use of the network restricted in any way? Secure? Healthy? Accessible? What is its capacity - ie. numbers of concurrent users?) - how are decisions made in this regard?

- It is a fully encrypted network.
- Privacy concerns:

Law enforcement raised a number of concerns around illegal or unsavory use of the Wi-Fi Internet service. The first six months of service will be available to Toronto residents and visitors free of charge, but Toronto Hydro Telecom was asked to devise a way to trace the network's users. The solution was to text-message a login ID and

password directly to users once they have supplied a cell phone number.

“It doesn’t mean we’re tracking, it doesn’t mean we’re adverse to privacy,” said Gravelle, “but the company had to come up with a user authentication process to satisfy the authorities. There were some concerns that were raised with police services such as the possibility that criminals would use the network to communicate with one another or prey on minors, she said. We had dialogues with these folks because we want to take that very seriously. Once a billing system goes into effect after the free six-month trial, the company will attempt to provide a login system that incorporates land-line and e-mail verification as well as the existing cell-phone system.” Source, See, “Police concerns, poor street light poles snarl Toronto Wi-Fi project, Proposed city-wide wireless service pushed back until September”, 7/20/2006 by Neil Sutton, viewed at <http://www.itbusiness.ca/it/client/en/home/News.asp?id=40122>, last viewed on July 28, 2006.

- Public Health concerns:

Concerns about electro magnetic fields and their possible health effects proved a reason for the delay in launching the service on the original July 2006 timelines. According to THT, Toronto Public Health is now satisfied that the network complies with safety guidelines, including those from Health Canada and Industry Canada, and has deemed it “low risk.” Source, see footnote #4.

3.5 Network Range

- What is the geographic range of the network? is there ubiquitous service?

One zone is located in five different, contiguous sections of downtown Toronto, covering an area of six square kilometres, for a grand total of 235 city blocks. Source: Speaking notes for Sharyn Gravelle, Vice-president, Wireless, Toronto Hydro Telecom Wireless Cities Summit Toronto, January 24, 2007.

3.6 Other Key Questions

- Does the network offer QoS? What is the organization’s philosophy on reliability of the network? (e.g. best effort?)
 - Best Effort
- Future plans for network extensions, further deployments, new services?
 - 2009?? entire city:~ 1M households & businesses, 2+ million residents, 630 sq km

3. ICT INFRASTRUCTURE

- Is this public infrastructure?
 - No

4. Key Services

- What services does the organization provide or enable? e.g.:
 - ✓ internet access
 - local content
 - remote community access
 - public safety
 - education
 - voice communications
 - telehealth
 - other

5. Users

5.1 Critical Questions

- Who uses the network/services provided by this organization?
 - Businesses and residential users, local citizens and tourists within footprint.
- What services are most widely used?
 - Internet access, email
- Is the network/service easy to use?
 - Yes, 900 concurrent users are connected to one zone during our high peak time. Source: Speaking notes for Sharyn Gravelle, Vice-president, Wireless, Toronto Hydro Telecom Wireless Cities Summit Toronto, January 24, 2007.
- What devices are used on the network?
 - Wireless-enabled laptop computers, mobile headsets and other mobile devices, or simply place VoIP phone calls.
- What has been expected/unexpected about usage and users?
 - What role (if any) do intended target groups and users play in the development of the network and its major programs?
- Do users have significant input into the development of the network/services?
 - No
- What does it cost for users to access the network/services? pricing model(s)? how are issues like affordability considered in developing pricing models?
 - Free first 6 months, then \$29/mo (\$10/day, \$5/hour)
 - Affordability not directly considered – pricing 30% below commercial competitors i.e. Bell and Rogers
- Are there public access points available to people who do not have the technology (e.g. laptop) to use the service?
 - No

6. Financial and Other Resources

- Funding sources (with dollar amounts) for organization and projects:
 - Self funded – dividends from operating profits go to City of Toronto.

7. External Stakeholders

- What stakeholders influence, or are influenced by, the cn initiative?
 - City of Toronto is the main stakeholder. Very little evidence of influence by the City of Toronto on THT direction.
- How have these shaped the development and activities of the organization?
 - N/A

8. Community

8.1 Community Profile

- What are the features of the community served by this network/organization?
 - Urban, high density areas at this time

8.2 Policy/Regulatory/Legal Context

- Currently using licensed exempt spectrum – showing interest in access to licensed spectrum for future roll-out of service.
- Are the services provided subject to any sort of regulation? By whom?
 - No
- Impact of new privacy legislation?
 - None

8.3 Impact and Benefits

- What impact does the network/service have on the broader community in which it is active?
 - Provision of universal coverage/access?
 - Availability of service for those with a cell phone and a wireless enabled laptop for fee (after free period)
 - Low cost or free service for disadvantaged
 - Not at present
 - Free service in parks and public spaces? (who does this benefit?)
 - Yes, for areas within the footprint (uncertain who benefits)
 - Increased tourism
 - No evidence at this time
 - Economic development
 - No evidence at this time

8 . C O M M U N I T Y

- Revitalize of communities
 - Not a stated goal - No evidence at this time
- Increased community cohesion
 - Not a stated goal - No evidence at this time
- Increased profile for community members/artists
 - Not a stated goal - No evidence at this time
- What evidence (if any) of such impact is available?
 - None

9. Critical Success Factors and Lessons Learned

- What were the key factors that enable the development of this network/provision of this service?
 - Acquisition of exclusive rights to street-lighting poles
 - Existing operation of fibre-optic backhaul infrastructure
 - Existing operation of ISP
 - Good planning
 - Early and effective management of health and safety concerns from public
 - Launch backed by Mayor
 - Experience in Ottawa
 - Self-funding

10. Assessment

- How well has this organization/network done in meeting its stated objectives?
 - THT has successfully met the objectives of the first 5 phases of the rollout from an infrastructure deployment perspective.
- Do the stated objectives meet the needs of stakeholders?
 - Stakeholders – users, partners, City of Toronto (shareholders). Needs have been met for partners (Siemens, etc) and the City of Toronto since dividends will be received by the shareholder. However, the service is for fee paying users, especially business users. Thus there are categories of potential users, the government services, schools, lower-income, etc whose needs are not being addressed.
- Does this network/organization provide a public benefit? how?
 - Critics point to a reduction in potentially significant public benefit because OneZone is using a private company and profit-making business model. The benefit to those members of the public who can afford the service is access to the Internet when away from their primary networks. However, for the general public the benefits are difficult to envision.
 - The public benefit according to THT is: “If one zone covered the entire city, Torontonians would have:
 - Access to a high-end service with low interference (a pre-requisite for future development is access to the licensed broadband spectrum)
 - Torontonians would be reaping certain economic benefits including a larger dividend back to the shareholder – a dividend that might then be used for a new park, new services, or lower property taxes.
 - Torontonians would be proud to know they were living in one of the world’s most efficiently run cities – a city with everything from better municipal services to better, health care delivery to better education.
 - Toronto hydro telecom might choose, for example, to demonstrate leadership by increasing our support for education.
 - Toronto hydro telecom could leverage its knowledge of wifi networks by partnering with vendors, suppliers, innovators, and universities to test new products, help bring new products to market, and promote basic research”.

- Source: Speaking notes for Sharyn Gravelle, Vice-president, Wireless, Toronto Hydro Telecom Wireless Cities Summit Toronto, January 24, 2007.

- What are the strengths and weaknesses of this network/organization?
 - Strengths: a scalable, relatively robust network (some quality of service issues exist), fibre-optic backbone, easy access to other resources e.g. Toronto Street lighting Cooperation for operational issue resolution.

 - Weaknesses: Closed network, no value add to underserved parts of population, subject to interference and congestion of license-exempt spectrum users, cost.

- How could this network/organization be improved?
 - Consideration of alternate business models (e.g. Fred-e-Zone model)

 - Participatory development – include members of the public/government/smaller WiFi providers.